

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/722,290	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert T. Crow	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert T. Crow.

(2) James Schumann.

**Date of Interview:** March 12 April 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:00

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
None

Claims discussed:  
1-14 and 18-27

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet


  


**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**RAKESH SHUKLA, PH.D.**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/Supervisor Signature)